# Integral Inequalities for the Polar derivative and the generalized Polar derivative of complex Polynomials

### Adeeb Tawfik Hasson Al-Saeedi and Dhekra Mohammed Mohsen Algawi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education Aden, University of Aden, Aden, Yemen

DOI: https://doi.org/10.47372/uajnas.2023.n2.a11

#### Abstract

For a polynomial P(z) of degree n, having all zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , Malik [11] proved that for each q > 0,

$$n \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$$

In this paper we generalize the above inequality to polar derivative and generalized polar derivative, which as special cases include several known results in this area.

**Keywords:** Polynomials, Restricted Zeros, Inequalities in the Complex Domain.

#### 1. Introduction

The main of this paper improves and refineds some well known results concerning the polynomials due to Turàn [19], Al-Saeedi [1], Rather, Ali, Shafi and Dar [15] and others.

If  $P(z) = \sum_{v=0}^{n} a_v z^v$  is a polynomial of degree n, then it is well known Bernstein's inequality [2], on the derivative of a polynomial, we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \le n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| . \tag{1.1}$$

This result is the best possible and equitable holding for a polynomial that has all zeros at the

If the polynomial P(z) of degree n not vanishing in |z| < 1, then Erdős [5] conjectured and Lax [10] proved that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \le \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \tag{1.2}$$

If we restrict ourselves to the class of polynomials which have all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , then it was proved

by Turán [19], that

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \ge \frac{n}{2} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| . \tag{1.3}$$

The inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) are also the best possible, and become equality for polynomials which have all their zeros on |z| = 1.

Let  $D_{\alpha}P(z)$  denote the polar derivative of a polynomial of degree n with respect to a complex number  $\alpha$ , then

$$D_{\alpha}P(z) = n P(z) + (\alpha - z) P(z)$$
, (see [12]).

The polynomial  $D_{\alpha}P(z)$  is of degree at most (n-1), and it generalizes the ordinary P(z) of

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \left( \frac{D_{\alpha} P(z)}{\alpha} \right) = P(z) \quad \text{uniformly with respect } z \text{ for } |z| \le R \text{ , } R > 0 \text{ .}$$
 For each positive integer n , let  $\mathbb{P}_n$  denote the set of all polynomials of degree  $n$  over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of

complex number ,  $\partial P_n$  denote the collection of all monic polynomials in  $P_n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$  be the set of all n – tuples

$$\gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, ..., \gamma_n)$$
 of non-negative real numbers (not all zeros) with  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \cdots + \gamma_n = \Lambda$ .

Let  $D^{\gamma}_{\alpha}[P](z)$  denote the generalized polar derivative of the polynomial P(z) as

$$D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z) = \Lambda P(z) + (\alpha - z) P^{\gamma}(z)$$

 $D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z) = \Lambda P(z) + (\alpha - z) P^{\gamma}(z)$  where  $\Lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \gamma_{j}$ , for all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ , (see [15]).

Note that for  $\gamma = (1,1,1,...,1)$ ,  $D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z) = D_{\alpha}P(z)$ 

Zygmund [20] extended Bernstein's inequality (1.1) to  $L^P$  norm as

$$\left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \le n \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q}, \tag{1.4}$$

for any polynomial P(z) of degree n and for any  $q \ge 1$ .

Malik [11] obtained the  $L^P$  extension of (1.3) due to Turán [19] by proving that, if P(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , then for any q > 0,

$$n\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \leq \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \tag{1.5}$$

In this paper we will extend and generalize the above inequality (1.5) to the class of polar derivative and generalized polar derivative of polynomials.

#### 2.Lemmas

We need the following Lemmas for the proof of our theorems .The first Lemma is due to Govil [6].

**Lemma 2.1.** If 
$$P(z)$$
 has all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then on  $|z| = 1$   $|q(z)| \le k^n |P(z)|$  (2.1)

**Lemma 2.2.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree at most n having all its zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ , then on |z| =

$$|Q(z)| \ge |P(z)| + n \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$
, where  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}$ .

A proof of this Lemma is contained in the proof of Theorem 1 in [7].

**Lemma 2.3.** If 
$$P(z)$$
 is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , then for  $R \ge 1$ 

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| \le R^n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|. \tag{2.2}$$

This lemma is due to [13]. The following lemma is due to Rahman and Schmeisser [14] (see also [3]).

**Lemma 2.4.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n which dose not vanish in |z| < 1, then for every  $R \ge 1$  and q > 0, we have

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P(R e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta \leq \frac{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + R^{n} e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \right\}}{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \right\}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta \tag{2.3}$$

**Lemma 2.5.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, then for  $R \ge 1$ 

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| \le R^n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{2(R^n - 1)}{(n+2)} |P(0)| - \left[\frac{R^n - 1}{n} - \frac{R^{n-2} - 1}{(n-2)}\right] |P(0)|; \text{ provided} \quad n > 2.$$
(2.4)

And

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| \le R^2 \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| - \frac{(R-1)}{2} [(R+1)|P(0)| + (R-1)|P^{(0)}|]; \text{ provided} \quad n$$

$$= 2 . \quad (2.5)$$

This lemma is due to Dewan el at. [4].

**Lemma 2.6.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ , k > 0, then

$$\max_{|z|=R} |P(z)| \ge R^s \left(\frac{R+k}{1+k}\right) \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| , \quad \text{for } k > 1 \text{ and } k < R < k^2$$
 (2.6)

where s is the order of possible zeros of P(z) at z = 0.

This lemma is due to Jain [9]. We also need the following Lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k^n$  and on |z| = 1

$$|D_{\alpha} P(z)| \ge (|\alpha| - k^n) |P(z)| \tag{2.7}$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/z)}$ , then |Q(z)| = |nP(z) - zP(z)| on |z| = 1, we have for |z| = 1

$$|D_{\alpha}P(z)| = |n P(z) + (\alpha - z)P(z)| = |\alpha P(z) + n P(z) - zP(z)|$$

$$\geq |\alpha P(z)| - |Q(z)| \tag{2.8}$$

Using inequality (2.1) of Lemma 2.1 in (2.8), we get on |z| = 1

$$|D_{\alpha} P(z)| \ge (|\alpha| - k^n) |P(z)|$$

The following Lemmas is due to Rather el at. [15].

If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, then for  $|Q^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda P(z) - z P^{\gamma}(z)| \text{ and } |P^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|, \text{ where } Q(z) = z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})}.$ 

**Lemma 2.9.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n which dose not vanish in |z| < k,  $k \ge 1$ , then  $|Q^{\gamma}(z)| \le k |P^{\gamma}(z)|$ , for |z| = 1, where  $Q(z) = z^n P(1/\overline{z})$ .

#### 3. Main Results and Proofs

**Theorem 3.1.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k^n$ , q > 1 and  $k < R < k^2$ 

$$n(|\alpha| - k^{n}) R^{s} \left(\frac{R+k}{1+k}\right) \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q}$$

$$\leq \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=R} |D_{\alpha} P(z)| \tag{3.1}$$

where s is the order of possible zeros of P(z) at z = 0.

**Proof.** The polynomial G(z) = P(kz) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$  and H(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ 

 $H(z) = z^n \overline{G(1/\overline{z})}$  will has all its zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ .

$$|H'(z)| \le |n H(z) - z H'(z)|, \quad \text{for } |z| = 1.$$
 (3.2)

Also since G(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$ , by Gauss-Lucas theorem all the zeros of G(z) also lie in

 $|z| \le 1$ . This implies that the polynomial  $z^{n-1}$   $\overline{G(1/\overline{z})} \equiv n H(z) - z H(z)$  dose not vanish in

$$|z| < 1$$
. Therefore, it follows from (3.2) that the function

Let 
$$w(z) = \frac{z H(z)}{n H(z) - z H(z)}$$

is analytic for  $|z| \le 1$  and  $|w(z)| \le 1$  for |z| = 1. Furthermore, w(0) = 0. Thus the function  $1 + 1 \le 1$ w(z) is subordination to the function 1+z. Hence by a well-known property of subordination [8], we

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + w(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \tag{3.3}$$

Now,

$$1 + w(z) = \frac{n H(z)}{n H(z) - z H(z)}$$
(3.4)

And

$$|G(z)| = |z^{n-1} \overline{G(1/\overline{z})}| = |n H(z) - z H(z)|, \quad \text{for } |z| = 1.$$
 (3.5)

Therefore, by (3.4), for |z| = 1, we have

$$n |H(z)| = |1 + w(z)| |n H(z) - z H(z)|$$

Which, by employing (3.5), yields the following

$$n|H(z)| = |1 + w(z)| |G(z)|$$
 for  $|z| = 1$ . (3.6)

From (3.3) and (3.6), we deduce, for q > 0, that

$$n^{q} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| \right\}^{q}. \tag{3.7}$$

Since H(z) is a polynomial of degree n which dose not vanish in |z| < 1, using Lemma 2.4 with

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| H(k e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta \leq \frac{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \right\}}{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \right\}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| H(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta$$

From the fact that  $|H(k e^{i\theta})| = k^n |P(e^{i\theta})|$  it follows that

$$n^q k^{nq} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| P(e^{i\theta}) \right|^q d\theta$$

$$\leq \frac{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \right\}}{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \right\}} n^{q} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| H(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta , \quad k \geq 1.$$
 (3.8)

Now, employing (3.7), this impli

$$n^{q} k^{nq} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right\} \left\{ \max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| \right\}^{q} . \tag{3.9}$$

From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\max_{|z|=R\geq 1} |P(z)| \leq R^n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$

Since G(z) = P(kz), then G'(z) = kP'(kz) which is of degree (n-1), we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| = k \max_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$$

Which using inequality (2.2) implies
$$\max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| \le k^n \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$
(3.10)

Now of Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \le \frac{1}{R^s} \left( \frac{1+k}{R+k} \right) \max_{|z|=R} |P(z)|$$
(3.11)

where s is the order of possible zeros of P(z) at z = 0.

On applying (3.11) to the polynomial 
$$P'(z)$$
, we obtain
$$\max_{|z|=1} |P'(z)| \le \frac{1}{R^s} \left( \frac{1+k}{R+k} \right) \max_{|z|=R} |P'(z)| \tag{3.12}$$

$$\max_{|z|=1} |G'(z)| \le \frac{k^n}{R^s} \left(\frac{1+k}{R+k}\right) \max_{|z|=R} |P'(z)|$$
Appling Lemma 2.7 in (3.13), we get

$$\max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| \le \frac{k^n}{R^s(|\alpha| - k^n)} \left(\frac{1+k}{R+k}\right) \max_{|z|=R} |D_{\alpha} P(z)|$$
(3.14)

Finally, on using (3.14) in (3.9), we obtain for each q > 1

$$n^q k^{nq} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| P\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \right|^q d\theta \leq \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} \left| 1 + k^n e^{i\theta} \right|^q d\theta \right\} \left\{ \frac{k^n}{R^s \left( |\alpha| - k^n \right)} \left( \frac{1+k}{R+k} \right) \max_{|z|=R} \left| D_\alpha P(z) \right| \right\}^q$$
 This implies to

$$n(|\alpha| - k^n) R^s \left(\frac{R+k}{1+k}\right) \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \left|P(e^{i\theta})\right|^q d\theta\right]^{1/q}$$

$$\leq \left[\int_0^{2\pi} \left|1 + k^n e^{i\theta}\right|^q d\theta\right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=R} |D_\alpha| P(z)|.$$

Hence, the theorem is completely proved

**Remark 3.1.** Dividing both sides of inequality (3.1) by  $|\alpha|$  and letting  $|\alpha| \to \infty$ , we obtain

$$n R^{s} \left(\frac{R+k}{1+k}\right) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \\ \leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1+k^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=R} |P(z)|. \tag{3.15}$$

This inequality is due to Al-Saeedi [1]

**Theorem 3.2.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree  $n \ge 3$  having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k$  and q > 0

$$n\left(|\alpha|-k\right)\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|P\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right|^{q}d\theta\right]^{1/q} \leq \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|1+k^{n}e^{i\theta}\right|^{q}d\theta\right]^{1/q}\left[\max_{|z|=1}\left|D_{\alpha}P(z)\right|-\frac{2\left(k^{n-1}-1\right)}{k^{n-1}(n+1)}\left|n\ a_{0}+\alpha\ a_{1}\right|-\frac{1}{k^{n-1}}\left\{\frac{k^{n-1}-1}{(n-1)}-\frac{k^{n-3}-1}{(n-3)}\right\}\left|(n-1)a_{1}+2\alpha\ a_{2}\right|-\frac{n\ m}{k^{n-1}}\right],$$
 provided  $n>3$  (3.16)

And

$$n(|\alpha| - k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n}e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q}$$

$$\left[ \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| - \frac{(k-1)}{2k^{n-1}} \{ (k+1)|n a_{0} + \alpha a_{1}| + (k-1)|(n-1)a_{1} + 2 \alpha a_{2}| \} - \frac{n m}{k^{n-1}} \right], \quad \text{provided } n = 3 \quad (3.17)$$
where  $m = \min_{|z|=k} |P(z)|$ .

**Proof.** The polynomial G(z) = P(kz) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le 1$  and hence its conjugate reciprocal polynomial H(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \ge 1$ . But then from Lemma 2.2, we have

$$|H(z)| \le |G(z)| - n \min_{|z|=1} |P(z)|$$
 on  $|z| = 1$ ,

which is on |z| = 1 equivalent to

$$|H'(z)| \le |G'(z)| - n m$$
Now on  $|z| = 1$ 

$$(3.18)$$

$$\left|D_{\alpha/k}G(z)\right| = \left|n G(z) - \left(\frac{\alpha}{k} - z\right) G'(z)\right| \ge \left|\frac{\alpha}{k}\right| \left|G'(z)\right| - \left|H'(z)\right|$$

Using (3.18) we get 
$$\left| D\alpha_{/k} G(z) \right| \ge \left( \frac{|\alpha|}{k} - 1 \right) \left| G(z) \right| + n m$$
 on  $|z| = 1$ 

$$\frac{\max_{|z|=1} |D\alpha_{/k} G(z)| \ge \left(\frac{|\alpha|}{k} - 1\right) \max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| + n m}{}$$

This implies to

$$k \max_{|z|=k} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| \ge (|\alpha| - k) \max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| + k n m$$
(3.19)

which can be expressed after applying (2.4) of Lemma 2.5 on  $|D_{\alpha}P(z)|$  for any polynomial P(z) of degree

$$n > 3$$
.

$$k\left\{k^{n-1}\left|D_{\alpha}P(z)\right| - \frac{2(k^{n-1}-1)}{(n+1)}\left|D_{\alpha}P(0)\right| - \left[\frac{k^{n-1}-1}{(n-1)} - \frac{k^{n-3}-1}{(n-3)}\right]\left|D_{\alpha}P\left(0\right)\right|\right\}$$

$$\geq (|\alpha| - k) \max_{|z|=1} |G(z)| + k n m,$$

$$\left\{k^{n} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| - \frac{2k(k^{n-1}-1)}{(n+1)} |D_{\alpha}P(0)| - k\left[\frac{k^{n-1}-1}{(n-1)} - \frac{k^{n-3}-1}{(n-3)}\right] |D_{\alpha}P(0)| - knm\right\}^{q} \\
\geq \left(|\alpha| - k\right)^{q} \left\{\max_{|z|=1} |G(z)|\right\}^{q} \tag{3.20}$$

Using (3.7) in (3.20), we get

$$\left\{k^{n} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| - \frac{2k (k^{n-1} - 1)}{(n+1)} |D_{\alpha}P(0)| - k \left[\frac{k^{n-1} - 1}{(n-1)} - \frac{k^{n-3} - 1}{(n-3)}\right] |D_{\alpha}P(0)| - k n m\right\}^{q} \\
\geq n^{q} (|\alpha| - k)^{q} \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |H(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta} \tag{3.21}$$

Now, from inequalities (3.8) and (3.21), we get for each q > 0

$$\begin{split} n\left(|\alpha|-k\right) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| P\left(e^{i\theta}\right) \right|^{q} \ d\theta \right]^{1/q} &\leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \ 1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta} \ \right|^{q} \ d\theta \right]^{1/q} \\ \left[ \max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\alpha} P(z) \right| - \frac{2 \left(k^{n-1}-1\right)}{k^{n-1} (n+1)} \left| n \ a_{0} + \alpha \ a_{1} \right| \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{k^{n-1}-1}{(n-1)} - \frac{k^{n-3}-1}{(n-3)} \right\} \left| (n-1) a_{1} + 2 \ \alpha \ a_{2} \right| \ - \frac{n \ m}{k^{n-1}} \right]. \end{split}$$

That proves the inequality (3.16) for n > 3. For the case n = 3, the result follows on similar lines by applying inequality (2.5) of Lemma 2.5 on  $|D_{\alpha}P(z)|$  in the inequality (3.19). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Taking  $q \to \infty$  in Theorem 3.2, we get

Corollary 3.1. If P(z) is a polynomial of degree  $n \ge 3$  having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k$ 

$$n \frac{(|\alpha| - k)}{1 + k^{n}} \max_{|z| = 1} |P(z)| \le \max_{|z| = 1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| - \frac{2(k^{n-1} - 1)}{k^{n-1}(n+1)} |n \ a_{0} + \alpha \ a_{1}| - \frac{1}{k^{n-1}} \left\{ \frac{k^{n-1} - 1}{(n-1)} - \frac{k^{n-3} - 1}{(n-3)} \right\} |(n-1)a_{1} + 2 \ \alpha \ a_{2}| - \frac{n \ m}{k^{n-1}} \text{, provided } n > 3. \quad (3.22)$$

$$n \frac{(|\alpha| - k)}{1 + k^{n}} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)| \le \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}P(z)| - \frac{(k-1)}{2 k^{n-1}} \{ (k+1) |n a_{0} + \alpha a_{1}| + (k-1)|(n-1)a_{1} + 2 \alpha a_{2} | \} - \frac{n m}{k^{n-1}} , \quad \text{provided} \quad n = 3 . \quad (3.23)$$

 $m = \min_{|z| = k} |P(z)|$ where

**Theorem 3.3.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \le 1$ , then for every

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k$  and q > 0

$$\Lambda\left(\left|\alpha\right| - k\right) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left|P\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \\
\leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left|1 + k e^{i\theta}\right|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{\left|z\right| = 1} \left|D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z)\right| .$$
(3.24)

**Proof.** Since P(z) is a polynomial of degree n, from Lemma 2.8, we have

$$|Q^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda P(z) - z P^{\gamma}(z)|$$
 and  $|P^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|$ , for  $|z| = 1$  (3.25)

From Lemma 2.9, we have

$$|Q^{\gamma}(z)| \le k |P^{\gamma}(z)|$$
, for  $|z| = 1$  (3.26)

Using (3.25) in (3.26), we get for |z| = 1,

$$|Q^{\gamma}(z)| \le k |\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)| \tag{3.27}$$

From (3.26) for every real or complex number  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| \ge k$  and |z| = 1, we have

$$|D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z)| \ge |\alpha| |P^{\gamma}(z)| - |\Lambda P(z) - z P^{\gamma}(z)| = |\alpha| |P^{\gamma}(z)| - |Q^{\gamma}(z)|$$

$$\ge (|\alpha| - k) |P^{\gamma}(z)| \quad (3.28)$$

Since P(z) has all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ , it follows from Rather el at. [18], we have

Every convex set containing all the zeros of P(z) also contains the zeros of  $P^{\gamma}(z)$  for all  $\gamma \in$  $\mathbb{R}^n_+$ . Therefor,

from (3.26) that the function

$$w(z) = \frac{z Q^{\gamma}(z)}{k(\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z))}$$

 $w(z) = \frac{z \cdot \sqrt{(z)}}{k(\Lambda Q(z) - z \cdot Q^{\gamma}(z))}$  is analytic for  $|z| \le 1$  and  $|w(z)| \le 1$  for |z| = 1. Furthermore, w(0) = 0. Thus the function  $1 + 1 \le 1$  for  $|z| \le 1$  and  $|w(z)| \le 1$  for |z| = 1. k w(z) is subordination to the function 1 + k z. Hence by a well-known property of subordination [8] ,we have for each q > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k w(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \tag{3.29}$$

$$1 + k w(z) = \frac{\Lambda Q(z)}{\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)}$$

$$|P^{\gamma}(z)| = |\Lambda Q(z) - z Q^{\gamma}(z)|$$
 for  $|z| = 1$ ,

therefore for |z| = 1,

$$\Lambda \left| z^n \overline{P(1/\overline{z})} \right| = |1 + k w(z)| |P^{\gamma}(z)|. \text{ This implies}$$

$$\Lambda |P(z)| = |1 + k w(z)| |P^{\gamma}(z)|, \quad \text{for } |z| = 1.$$
From (3.28) and (3.30), we deduce that for  $q > 0$ ,

$$\Lambda^{q} (|\alpha| - k)^{q} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k w(e^{i\theta})|^{q} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \\
\leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k w(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta (\max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z)|)^{q}.$$

Which is equivalent to for each q > 0

$$\Lambda(|\alpha| - k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z)|.$$

This proves Theorem 3.3.

**Remark 3.2.** Taking  $q \to \infty$  in inequality (3.24) reduces to

$$\max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \left[ P \right] (z) \right| \ge \frac{\Lambda \left( |\alpha| - k \right)}{1 + k} \max_{|z|=1} \left| P(z) \right| . \tag{3.31}$$

**Remark 3.3.** For the n – tuple  $\gamma = (1,1,1,...,1)$ , the inequality (3.24) reduces to

$$n(|\alpha| - k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q}$$

$$\leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha} P(z)|. \tag{3.32}$$

This result is due to Rather el at. [17].

**Theorem 3.4.** If P(z) is a polynomial of degree n having all its zeros in  $|z| \le k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , then for

 $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \text{ with } |\alpha| \ge k , q > 0$ 

$$\Lambda\left(|\alpha|-k\right)\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|P\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right|^{q}d\theta\right]^{1/q} \\
\leq \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|1+k^{n}e^{i\theta}\right|^{q}d\theta\right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1}\left|D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\left[P\right](z)\right|. \tag{3.33}$$

**Proof.** Since all the zeros of P(z) lie in  $|z| \le k$ , therefore, all the zeros of F(z) = P(kz) lie in  $|z| \le k$ 

Applying inequality (3.24) with k = 1 to the polynomial F(z), it follows for each q > 0 and  $|\beta| \ge 1$ ,

$$\Lambda(|\beta| - 1) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\beta}^{\gamma}[F](z)|.$$

Setting  $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{k}$  in above inequality and noting that  $|\beta| = \left|\frac{\alpha}{k}\right| \ge 1$ , we get

$$\Lambda \left( \left| \frac{\alpha}{k} \right| - 1 \right) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| F(e^{i\theta}) \right|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \\
\leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 + e^{i\theta} \right|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\frac{\alpha}{k}}^{\gamma} [F](z) \right|. \tag{3.34}$$

Let  $G(z) = z^n F(1/\overline{z})$ . Then |G(z)| = |F(z)| for |z| = 1 and G(z) dose not vanish in |z| < 11. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 applied to the polynomial G(z) with  $R = k \ge 1$ , it follows that for each

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |G(k e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \leq \frac{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right\}}{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right\}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |G(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta 
= \frac{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right\}}{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right\}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} |F(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta$$
(3.35)

Combining (3.34) and (3.35), we get for each q > 0,

$$\Lambda(|\alpha| - k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |G(k e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \\
\leq k \frac{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right\}^{1/q}}{\left\{ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right\}^{1/q}} \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\frac{\alpha}{k}}^{\gamma} [F](z) \right| \\
= k \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\frac{\alpha}{k}}^{\gamma} [F](z) \right|. \tag{3.36}$$

Also since

$$G(z) = z^n \overline{F(1/\overline{z})} = z^n \overline{P(k/\overline{z})}$$
,

we see that for 
$$0 \le \theta < 2\pi$$
,
$$|G(k e^{i\theta})| = |k^n e^{in\theta} \overline{P(e^{i\theta})}| = |k^n |P(e^{i\theta})|.$$

Using this in (3.36), we get

$$\Lambda k^{n}(|\alpha| - k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q}$$

$$\leq k \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\frac{\alpha}{k}}^{\gamma}[F](z) \right| .$$
(3.37)

$$\max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\frac{\alpha}{k}}^{\gamma}[P](kz) \right| = \max_{|z|=1} \left| \Lambda P(kz) + \left(\frac{\alpha}{k} - z\right) P^{\gamma}(kz) \right|$$

$$= \max_{|z|=1} \left| \Lambda P(kz) + \left(\frac{\alpha - kz}{k}\right) P(kz) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{j}}{z - \frac{Z_{j}}{k}} \right|$$

$$= \max_{|z|=1} \left| \Lambda P(kz) + \left(\frac{\alpha - kz}{k}\right) k P(kz) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{j}}{kz - z_{j}} \right|$$

$$= \max_{|z|=1} \left| \Lambda P(kz) + (\alpha - kz) P(kz) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{j}}{kz - z_{j}} \right|$$

$$= \max_{|z|=1} \left| G(kz) \right| = \max_{|z|=k} \left| G(z) \right| ,$$

 $G(z) = \Lambda P(z) + (\alpha - z) P(z) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_j}{z-z_j}$  is a polynomial of degree at most (n-1). On where using

inequality (2.3), this gives

$$\max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\frac{\alpha}{k}}^{\gamma}[P](kz) \right| = \max_{|z|=k} |G(z)| \le k^{n-1} \max_{|z|=1} |G(z)|$$

$$= k^{n-1} \max_{|z|=1} \left| \Lambda P(z) + (\alpha - z) P(z) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\gamma_{j}}{z - z_{j}} \right| = k^{n-1} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z)|$$

Hence,

$$\max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\frac{\alpha}{k}}^{\gamma}[P](kz) \right| \le k^{n-1} \max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z) \right| \tag{3.38}$$

$$\Lambda k^{n} (|\alpha| - k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \leq k^{n} \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}[P](z)|$$

$$\Lambda\left(\left|\alpha\right|-k\right)\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|P\left(e^{i\theta}\right)\right|^{q}\;d\theta\right]^{1/q}\leq\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi}\left|1+k^{n}\;e^{i\theta}\;\right|^{q}\;d\theta\right]^{1/q}\max_{\left|z\right|=1}\left|D_{\alpha}^{\gamma}\left[P\right](z)\right|.$$

Which proves the Theorem 3.4.

**Remark 3.4.** Taking 
$$q \to \infty$$
 in inequality (3.33) reduces to 
$$\max_{|z|=1} \left| D_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \left[ P \right](z) \right| \ge \frac{\Lambda \left( |\alpha| - k \right)}{1 + k^n} \max_{|z|=1} \left| P(z) \right| . \tag{3.39}$$
 The above result is due to Rather el at. [15].

**Remark 3.5.** For the n – tuple  $\gamma = (1,1,1,...,1)$ , the inequality (3.33) reduces to

$$n(|\alpha| - k) \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q}$$

$$\leq \left[ \int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + k^{n} e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta \right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |D_{\alpha} P(z)|. \tag{3.40}$$
where example is due to Pather electrical.

This result is due to Rather el at. [16].

#### References

- 1. Al-Saeedi, A.T. H. (2008). On Inequalities, Derivative and Maximum Modulus of Complex Polynomials. D. Phil. Thesis, Dept. Math. J.N.V. University, Jodhpur (India).
- 2. Bernstein, S. (1926). Lecons sur les proprie'te's extremales et la meilleure dune functions rella. Paris.
- 3. Boas, R.P. and Rahman, Q.I. (1962). L<sup>p</sup> Inequalities for polynomials and entire functions Arch. Ration. Mach. Anal. 11, 34-39.
- 4. Dewan, K.K., Kaur, J. and Mir, A. (2002). Inequalities for the derivative of a polynomial, J, Math. Anal. Appl., 269, pp. 489-499.
- 5. Erdös, P. (1940). On extremal properties of derivatives of polynomials, Ann. Math. 41, 310-
- 6. Govil, N.K. (1973). On the derivative of a polynomial, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 41, 543 546.
- 7. Govil, N.K. (1991). Some inequalities for derivative of polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, Vol. 66, 29-35.
- 8. Hille, E. (1962). Analytic Function Theory Vol. II, Ginn and Company, New York.
- 9. Jain, V.K. (1999). On polynomials having zeros in closed exterior or closed interior of a circle, Indian J. Pure. Appl. Math. 30, 153-159.
- 10. Lax, P.D. (1944). Proof of a conjunction of P. Erdös on the derivative of a polynomial, Bull. Amer. Mathematics Soc. 50, 509-513.
- 11. Malik, M.A. (1984). An integral mean estimate for polynomials, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91, 281-
- 12. Marden, M.(1966). Geometry of Polynomial, Math. Survey No. 3, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence,
- 13. Polya, G. and Szegő, G. (1945). Aufgaben and Lehrsatze aus der Analysis, Vol.1, p.137, Problem 269, New York.
- 14. [14] Rahman, Q.I. Schmeisser, G. (1988). L<sup>p</sup> Inequalities for polynomials, J. Approx. Theory 53, 26-32.
- 15. Rather, N.A., Ali, L., Shafi, M. and Dar, I.(2022). Inequalities for the generalized polar derivative of a polynomial ,Palestine Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 11 (3), 549-557.
- 16. Rather, N.A., Bhat, F.A. (2017). Inequalities for polar derivative of a polynomial, Appl. Math. E – Notes, 17, 231-241.
- 17. Rather, N.A., Gulzar, S. and Ahangar, S.H. (2016). Inequalities involving the integrals of polynomials and their polar derivative, J. Classical Analysis, 1, 59-64.
- 18. Rather, N.A., Iqbal, A. and Dar, I. (2020). On the zeros of a class of generalized derivative, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser.
- 19. Turán, P. (1939). Uberdie Ableitung Compositio Math. 7, 89 95.
- 20. Zygmund, A. (1932). A remark on conjugate series, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 341, 392-400.

# المتباينات التكامل للمشتقة القطبية والمشتقة القطبية المعممة من متعددات الحدود

أديب توفيق حسن السعيدي وذكرى محمد محسن الجاوي قسم الرياضيات كلية التربية عدن، جامعة عدن، عدن، اليمن DOI: https://doi.org/10.47372/uajnas.2023.n2.a11

## الملخص

|q>0 من الدرجة |n| من الدرجة من الأصفار في  $|z|\leq 1$  من الدرجة من الدرجة من الأصفار في المتعددة الحدود  $n\left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |P(e^{i\theta})|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \leq \left[\int_{0}^{2\pi} |1 + e^{i\theta}|^{q} d\theta\right]^{1/q} \max_{|z|=1} |P(z)|.$ 

في هذه الورقة البحثية ، نقوم بتعميم المتباينة أعلاه على المشتقة القطبية و المشتقة القطبية المعممة، والتي تتضمن - بوصفها حالات خاصة العديد من النتائج المعروفة في هذا المجال

الكلمات المفتاحية: متعددات الحدود ، أصفار مقيدة ، متباينات في المجال المركب.