

q-Hypergeometric representations of the multiple Hurwitz Zeta function

Fadhle B. F. Mohsen and Fadhl S. Alsarahi

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education , Aden University, Aden, Yemen

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47372/ujnas.2016.n2.a14>

Abstract

The basic hypergeometric series started essentially by Euler back in (1748) that emphasis on generating functions of partitions. Later, Gauss (1813) and Cauchy (1852) found several transformations and summations formulas related to basic hypergeometric series.

In this paper, the main goal is to introduce some new representations for the q-analogue of the multiple Hurwitz Zeta function are derived.

Key words: multiple Hurwitz Zeta function, q-Hypergeometric series, q-shifted factorial.

Introduction, definitions and notations

The Hurwitz or generalized Zeta function at integer points [12]

$$\zeta(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^s}, \quad 0 < a \leq 1, \quad (1.1)$$

has a q-analogue defined by

$$\zeta_q(s, a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+a)(s-1)}}{[n+a]^s}, \quad 0 < q < 1, \quad 0 < a \leq 1. \quad (1.2)$$

In [11], the q-Hurwitz Zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta(s, z; q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+1)s}}{[n+z]_q^s}, \quad (1.3)$$

Also, he defined the multiple Zeta and q-Zeta functions by

$$\zeta(s, z; w) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k_1 w_1 + k_2 w_2 + \dots + k_n w_n + z)^s}, \quad (1.4)$$

and

$$\zeta(s, z; w, a, b; q) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{s(k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2 + \dots + k_n a_n + b)}}{[k_1 w_1 + k_2 w_2 + \dots + k_n w_n + z]_q^s} \quad (1.5)$$

respectively.

Barnes [4] (see also [1,2,3]) introduced and studied the generalized multiple Hurwitz Zeta function $\zeta_n(s, a/w_1, \dots, w_n)$ defined, for $R(s) > n$, by the following series:

$$\zeta_n(s, a/w_1, \dots, w_n) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(a + \Omega)^s} \quad (R(s)) > n ; n \in N, \quad (1.6)$$

where N denotes the set of positive integers, $\Omega = k_1 w_1 + \dots + k_n w_n$.

Barnes-Changhee multiple q-Zeta functions are defined by (see [8],[9]).

$$\zeta_{q,r}(s, w/a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{w+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r}}{[w+n_1 a_1 + n_2 a_2 + \dots + n_r a_r]^s} \quad (1.7)$$

$\text{Re}(w) > 0$, $q \in C$ with $|q| < 1$, which, for $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_r = 1$, yields

$$\zeta_{q,r}(s, w/1, \dots, 1) = \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{w+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r}}{[w+n_1+n_2+\dots+n_r]^s}.$$

Moreover, if $w=r$ and $s=1-n$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$), we have

$$\zeta_{q,r}(n-1, r/1, \dots, 1) = (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(n+r-1)!} B_{n+r-1}^{(r)}(r; q)$$

where $B_{n+r-1}^{(r)}(r; q)$ is called q-Bernoulli numbers.

We also note that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \zeta_{q,n}(n-1, r/1, \dots, 1) = \zeta_n(n-1, r/1, \dots, 1) = (-1)^r \frac{(n-1)!}{(n+r-1)!} B_{n+r-1}^{(r)}(r)$$

(see [8], [9]).

The q-number $[z]_q$ is defined through

$$[z]_q = \frac{1-q^z}{1-q}, z \in C, q \neq 1. \quad (1.8)$$

$$\text{A special case of (1.8) when } z \in N \text{ is } [n]_q = \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i, n \in N,$$

which is called the q-analogue of $n \in N$, since

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [n]_q = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} q^i = n.$$

The Pochhammersymbol $(.)_k$, also called the shifted factorial, is defined by

$$(z)_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (z+j), k \geq 1, (z)_0 = 1, (-z)_k = 0, \text{if } z < k,$$

which in terms of the Gamma function is given by

$$(z)_k = \frac{\Gamma(z+k)}{\Gamma(z)}, k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, z \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$$

and ${}_r F_s$ denoted the ordinary hypergeometric series ([4],[10]) with variable z is defined by

$${}_r F_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r)_k}{(b_1, \dots, b_s)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}, \quad (1.9)$$

being $(a_1, \dots, a_r)_k = \prod_{i=1}^r (a_i)_k$, with $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^s$ complex numbers subject to the condition

that $b_j \neq -n$ with $n \in N/\{0\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, s$.

Here we will give some usual definitions and notations used in q-calculus, i.e. the q-analogues of the usual calculus .

Let the q-analogues of Pochhammer symbol or q-shifted factorial be defined by [7,10]

$$(a; q)_n = \begin{cases} 1 & , n = 0 \\ \prod_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 - aq^j) & , n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

where $(q^{-n}; q) = 0$, whenever $n < k$, (1.11)

$$(0; q)_n = 1,$$

$$\text{also } (a; q)_{n+k} = (a; q)_n (aq^n; q)_k \quad (1.12) \text{ and} \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{(q^z; q)_k}{(1-q)^k} = (z)_k.$$

The formula (2.1) is known as the Watson notation [5,6].

The q-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_{n-k}}, \quad k, n \in N \quad (1.13)$$

and for complex z is defined by

$$\left[\begin{matrix} z \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q = \frac{(q^{-z}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} (-1)^k q^{zk - \binom{k}{2}}; \quad k \in N \quad (1.14)$$

In addition, using the above definitions, we have that the binomial theorem

$$(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] x^k y^{n-k}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad (1.15)$$

has a q-analogue of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (xy; q)_n &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] y^k (x; q)_k (y; q)_{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] x^{n-k} (x; q)_k (y; q)_{n-k}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

In particular, when $y = 0$ we have that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] x^{n-k} (x; q)_k = (0; q)_n = 1. \quad (1.17)$$

Let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^r$ and $\{b_j\}_{j=1}^s$ complex numbers subject to the condition that $b_j \neq q^{-n}$ with $n \in N \setminus \{0\}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, s$.

Then the basic hypergeometric or q-hypergeometric ${}_r\phi_s$ series with variable z is defined by

$${}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| q; z \right) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_k}{(b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_k} (-1)^{(1+s-r)k} q^{(1+s-r)\binom{k}{2}} \frac{z^k}{(q; q)_k}, \quad (1.18)$$

$$\text{where } (a_1, \dots, a_r; q)_k = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq r} (a_j; q)_k.$$

In addition, for brevity, let us denote by

$$\left[{}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| q; z \right) \right]^n = {}_r\phi_s^n \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| q; z \right), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots. \quad (1.19)$$

Analogously to the ordinary hypergeometric ${}_{s+1}F_s$ series, the q-hypergeometric ${}_{s+1}\phi_s$ series is called k-balanced if $b_1 b_2 \dots b_s = q^k a_1 a_2 \dots a_{s+1}$.

The q-hypergeomwtric ${}_r\phi_s$ series is a q-analogue of the ordinary hypergeometric ${}_rF_s$ series defined by[7]

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} {}_r\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q^{a_1}, \dots, q^{a_r} \\ q^{b_1}, \dots, q^{b_s} \end{matrix} \middle| q; z(q-1)^{1+s-r} \right) = {}_rF_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| z \right). \quad (1.20)$$

The q-analogue of the Chu-Vandermonde convolution is given by[10]

$${}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a \\ b \end{matrix} \middle| q; \frac{bq^n}{a} \right) = \frac{(a^{-1}b; q)_n}{(b; q)_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots , \quad (1.21)$$

$${}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a \\ b \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) = \frac{(a^{-1}b; q)_n}{(b; q)_n} a^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots . \quad (1.22)$$

Soria-Lorente et.al.[12] gave the following relation

$${}_2\phi_0 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a \\ - \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^n z^{-1} \right) = z^{-n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (1.23)$$

Main Results

In this section, some representations for the q-analogue of the multiple Hurwitz Zeta function are establish:

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n+w)(s-1)}}{[w+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n]_q^s} \quad (2.1)$$

Theorem1. Let s be an integer number, with $s > 1$, $|q| < 1$ and $0 < w \leq 1$. Then, the q-analogue of the multiple Hurwitz zeta function(2.1) admits the following representations

$$\text{i.} \quad \zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^w, \dots, q^w \\ q^{w+1}, \dots, q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right)$$

$$\times {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^{w+r_1}, \dots, q^{w+r_1} \\ q^{w+1+r_1}, \dots, q^{w+1+r_1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right) \dots {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^{w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{ii.} \quad \zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} {}_2\phi_0 \left(q^{-(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)}; q/q; q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)-1} \right)$$

$$\times {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-(r_1)}, q \\ q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-(r_2)}, q \\ q^{w+1+r_1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \dots {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-(r_n)}, q \\ q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \quad (2.3)$$

$$\text{iii.} \quad \zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^w, \dots, q^w \\ q^{w+1}, \dots, q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right)$$

$$\times {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^{w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_1} q^{\binom{k_1}{2} + (w k_1)} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_1}, q^{r_1-w} \\ q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{2w+1} \right)$$

$$\times {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_1}, q^{r_1-w} \\ q^{w+1+r_1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \dots \sum_{k_n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_n} q^{\binom{k_n}{2} + (w+r_1+\dots+r_n)k_n}$$

$$\times {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_n}, q^{-(w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}-r_n)} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{2(w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1})+1} \right) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_n}, q^{r_1-w} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \quad (2.4)$$

Proof .

(i) From

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)(s-1)}}{[w+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n]_q^s}.$$

By using (1.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_n(s, w; q) \\ &= q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^w)^s (1-q^{w+1})^s \dots (1-q^{w+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n-1})^s q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)(s-1)}}{(1-q^{w+1})^s \dots (1-q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n-2})^s (1-q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n-1})^s} \\ &= q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^w; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n}^s q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n}^s} \\ &= q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^w, \dots, q^w \\ q^{w+1}, \dots, q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right) {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^{w+r_1}, \dots, q^{w+r_1} \\ q^{w+1+r_1}, \dots, q^{w+1+r_1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right) \\ &\quad \dots \dots {}_{s+1}\phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^{w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+1+r_1+\dots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let's prove (ii):

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} q^{-(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)} \left[\frac{(q^w; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n} q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n}} \right]^s.$$

Putting $n = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$ in (1.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} {}_2\phi_0 \left(q^{-(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)}; q/q; q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)-1} \right) \\ &\quad \left[\frac{(q^{-1}q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n} q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n}} \right]^s \\ &= q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} {}_2\phi_0 \left(q^{-(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)}; q/q; q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)-1} \right) \\ &\quad {}_2\phi_1^s \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-(r_1)}; q \\ q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) {}_2\phi_1^s \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-(r_2)}; q \\ q^{w+1+r_1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \dots {}_2\phi_1^s \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-(r_n)}; q \\ q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\dots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is the required result.

(iii) According to the q-Chu-Vandermonde formula (1.21), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n=0}^{\infty} q^{-(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)} \\ &\quad \left[\frac{(q^w; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n}^{(s-1)} q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)(s-1)} (q^w; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n} q^{(r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n}^{(s-1)} (q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1+r_2+\dots+r_n}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^w; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)} q^{(r_1)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)}} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{w+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)} q^{(r_n)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_n}^{(s-1)}}$$

$$\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-r_1}; q)_{k_1} (q; q)_{k_1}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{k_1}} \frac{q^{(w+r_1)k_1}}{(q; q)_{k_1}} \cdots \sum_{k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-r_n}; q)_{k_n} (q; q)_{k_n}}{(q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{k_n}} \frac{q^{(w+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_n)k_n}}{(q; q)_{k_n}}$$

Since $(q^{-n}; q)_k = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_{n-k}} (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}-nk}$, $k \leq n$.

Then, the result obtained is

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^w; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)} q^{(r_1)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)}} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{w+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)} q^{(r_n)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_n}^{(s-1)}}$$

$$\sum_{k_1=0}^{[r_1]} \frac{(q; q)_{r_1} (-1)^{k_1} q^{\binom{k_1}{2}-r_1 k_1}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{k_1}} \frac{q^{(w+r_1)k_1}}{(q; q)_{r_1-k_1}} \cdots \sum_{k_n=0}^{[r_n]} \frac{(q; q)_{r_n} (-1)^{k_n} q^{\binom{k_n}{2}-r_n k_n}}{(q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{k_n}} \frac{q^{(w+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_n)k_n}}{(q; q)_{r_n-k_n}}$$

$$= q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1, k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_{r_1+k_1} (q^w; q)_{r_1+k_1}^{(s-1)} (-q^w)^{k_1} q^{\binom{k_1}{2}+(r_1+k_1)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1+k_1}^{(s-1)} (q^{w+1}; q)_{k_1} (q; q)_{r_1}}$$

$$\cdots \sum_{r_n, k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_{r_n+k_n} (q^{w+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_n+k_n}^{(s-1)} (-q^{s-1+w})^{k_n} q^{\binom{k_n}{2}+k_n(r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1})+(r_n)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_n+k_n}^{(s-1)} (q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{k_n} (q; q)_{r_n}}$$

Using the property (1.12), we get

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s \sum_{r_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_{r_1} (q^w; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)} q^{(r_1)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1}; q)_{r_1}^{(s-1)} (q; q)_{r_1}}$$

$$\sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{1+r_1}; q)_{k_1} (q^{w+r_1}; q)_{k_1}^{(s-1)} (-q^w)^{k_1} q^{\binom{k_1}{2}+(k_1)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1+r_1}; q)_{k_1}^{(s-1)} (q^{w+1}; q)_{k_1}} \cdots \sum_{r_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q; q)_{r_n} (q^{w+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_n}^{(s-1)} q^{(r_n)(s-1)}}{(q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{r_n}^{(s-1)} (q; q)_{r_n}}$$

$$\sum_{k_n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{1+r_n}; q)_{k_n} (q^{w+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_n}; q)_{k_n}^{(s-1)} (-q^{s-1+w})^{k_n} q^{\binom{k_n}{2}+k_n(r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1})}}$$

$$(q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_n}; q)_{k_n}^{(s-1)} (q^{w+1+r_1+r_2+\cdots+r_{n-1}}; q)_{k_n}$$

$$\zeta_n(s, w; q) = q^{w(s-1)} \left(\frac{1-q}{1-q^w} \right)^s {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left(\begin{matrix} q; q^w, \dots, q^w \\ q^{w+1}, \dots, q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right)$$

$$\times {}_s\phi_{s-1} \left(\begin{matrix} q; q^{w+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}}, \dots, q^{w+1+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{s-1} \right) \sum_{k_1=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_1} q^{\binom{k_1}{2}+(w k_1)}$$

$$\times {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_1}, q^{r_1-w} \\ q^{w+1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{2w+1} \right) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_1}, q^{r_1-w} \\ q^{w+1+r_1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \cdots \sum_{k_n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k_n} q^{\binom{k_n}{2}+(w+r_1+\cdots+r_n)k_n}$$

$$\times {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_n}, q^{-(w+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}-r_n)} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q^{2(w+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1})+1} \right) {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k_n}, q^{r_1-w} \\ q^{w+1+r_1+\cdots+r_{n-1}} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right)$$

References

- 1- Barnes, E.W., (1899), The theory of the G-function, Quart.J.Math,31, 264-314.
- 2- Barnes, E.W., (1904), The theory of the multiple Gamma functions, Trans. Combridge Philos. Soc., 19,374-439.
- 3- Barnes, E.W., (1900), Genesis of the double Gamma function, Proc. London Math. Soc., (sec.1), 31, 358-381.
- 4- Barnes, E.W., (1901), The theory of the double Gamma function, Philes. Trans. Roy. Sec. London ser.A, 196,265-388.
- 5- Ernst, T. , (2003), A method for q-calculus, J. of Nonlinear mathematical physics, Vol. 10, No.4, pp.487-525.
- 6- Ernst, T. , (2009), q-calculus as operational algebra, Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of sciences vol. 58, No.2, pp.73-97.
- 7- Gasper,G. and Rahman, M. ,(2004), Basic hypergeometric series, Cambridge university Press.
- 8- Kim, T.,(2003), Non-archimedean q-integrals associated with multiple Chunghee q-Bernoulli polynomials, Bass. J. Math phys., 10, pp.91-98.
- 9- Kim, T., (2004), Analytic continuation oncesf multiple q-zeta functions and their values at negative integers, Bass. J. Math phys., 11,pp.71-76.
- 10- Koekoek, R. and Swarttouw, R.F., ,(1998), The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its q-analogue, Report 98-17, Faculty of Technical mathematics and informatics, Delft university.
- 11- Michitomo, N., (1998) Generalization of Gamma function and its applications to q-analysis,Ph.D. Thesis Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Weseda University,Japan.
- 12- Soria-Lorente,A., Cumbre-Gonzalez,B., July (2014), q-hypergeometric representations of the q-analogue of zeta function, Journal of Fractional Calculus and Applications, Vol. 5(2), pp.1-8.

التمثيلات فوق الهندسية لدالة هويرتز زيتا المتعددة من النوع كيو

فضل بن فضل محسن وفضل صالح السرحي

قسم الرياضيات، كلية التربية، جامعة عدن – اليمن

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.47372/uajnas.2016.n2.a14>

الملخص

بدأت المتسلسلات فوق الهندسية الأساسية تظاهر جوهرياً بواسطة إيلر في (1748م) للتأكيد على توليد الدوال المجزئية. لاحقاً جاوس (1813م) و كوشي (1852م) وجداً عدة تحويلات وصيغ المجموع المتعلقة بالمتسلسلات فوق الهندسية الأساسية في هذه الورقة، هدفنا الأساسي هو تقديم بعض التمثيلات فوق الهندسية الأساسية الجديدة لدالة هويرتز زيتا المتعددة واثنقاها.

الكلمات المفتاحية: دالة هويرتز زيتا المتعددة، المتسلسلة فوق الهندسية من النوع كيو ، والانتقال المضروبـي من النوع كيو.